

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/889,227	· 01/08/2002	Bernd Riedl	BAYER 15(1)	5714	
23599	7590 10/19/2004		EXAMINER		
•	VHITE, ZELANO & BR ENDON BLVD.	DESAI, RITA J			
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			1625		
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004	DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/889,2	27	RIEDL ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Rita J. Do		1625				
۔۔ Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ddress			
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the perior of the period of the perior of the period	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 riod for reply is specified above, the maximum state or reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months af exacent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evaluation.  of days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tir tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered time the mailing date of this of the considered time. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)□ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed	d on						
2a) <u></u> ⊤i	nis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2	b)⊠ This action is i	non-final.					
· ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4)⊠ Cl 4a 5)⊡ Cl 6)⊠ Cl	aim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/a ) Of the above claim(s) <u>71-78</u> is/are aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) <u>1,3, 4,6-27, 33-37, 38-40, 42</u> aim(s) is/are objected to.	e withdrawn from co	nsideration.	<u>, 67-70</u> is/are reje	ected.			
8)□ C	aim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	requirement.					
Application	Papers							
-	e specification is objected to by the		_					
	e drawing(s) filed on is/are:							
	oplicant may not request that any objec		•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ED 4 404(4)			
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including e oath or declaration is objected to	·		-	, ,			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) <u>□</u> 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority of Certified copies of the priority of Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action	documents have bed documents have bed of the priority documenal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)								
	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1	ro-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🛛 Informat	ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Fo(s)/Mail Date <u>2/19/04</u> .		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		0-152)			

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1,3,4,6-27,33-40,42,44,45,48-51,53-55,58,59,61,62,64,65 and 67-78.

Art Unit: 1625

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The request filed on 8/18/2004 for a Continued Examination Application (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/889227 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Claims pending 1,3, 4,6-27, 33-37, 38-40, 42, 44, 45, 48-51, 53-55,58,59,61,62,64, 65,67-78.

Election / Restriction.

The applicants had elected group IV of the restriction in their response of Dec. 19 2002.

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated October 31, 2002, Applicant's hereby elect with traverse Group IV (claims 1-67 in part), drawn to compounds, pharmaceutical compositions and method of treating using compounds of Formula I, wherein A is L-M-L', wherein M is oxygen or sulphur, L is phenyl and L' is phenyl, pyridinyl or pyrimidinyl, and B is phenyl, substituted at least by a tert-butyl group or a trifluoromethyl group. Applicants traverse

Applicants have not amended the claims to the elected group.

The new claims 71-78 drawn to the formula I is either a duplicate claim or if the scope is different scope then it is drawn to another group XVI.

The 1449 filled 2/19/04 has been initialed and being sent herewith.

Applicants have amended the claims, however the rejections under 112 still stands. See below.

Art Unit: 1625

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 38, 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for certain hetero cylic groups, does not reasonably provide enablement for any hetero ring from 1-3 atoms of N, O or S. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Applicants can overcome this by putting in the specific hetero ring groups as given in the examples, such as the piperidine, piperizine, morpholine, pyrrole and pyridine.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim recites what is not, hence is not defining the claims in positive terms.

### **Double Patenting**

Claims 1,3, 4,6-27, 33-37, 38-40, 42, 44, 45, 48-51, 53-55,58,59,61,62,64, 65, 67-70 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting

Art Unit: 1625

as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of the of copending Application No. 10/071248.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are drawn to a similar genus as given below.

wherein,

Hal

is chlorine or bromine, and

X<sup>1</sup> to X<sup>7</sup>

are each, independently, H, OH or -OC(O)C1-C4 alkyl,

or a salt or purified stereoisomer thereof.

and

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Close Art cited but not relied upon.

US 5710094 teaches compounds of the formula

Art Unit: 1625

, wherein the L' is not substituted by C(O)Rx

The child applications of WO 9932436 and WO 9932463 have been abandoned.

Applicants have several US published applications, such as US 2003139605, US 2002042517, US 2003105091, US 2003139605, US 2002165394, US 2003068223, US 2003181442, US 2003207872, US 2004102636. Applicants should clearly indicate the clear line of demarcation of the claims since all are similar or provide a terminal disclaimer for the same.

#### Conclusion

The claims 1,3, 4,6-27, 33-37, 38-40, 42, 44, 45, 48-51, 53-55,58,59,61,62,64, 65, 67-70 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita J. Desai whose telephone number is 571-272-0684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday,9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1625

Rita J. Desai Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

R.D. October 8, 2004 10/8/04